CERTIF : CONFORMITY TESTS ON SOFTWARE DEFINED RADIO PLATFORMS



November 2019

SDR CONFORMANCE ASSESSMENT: THE NEEDS

TESTING METHODOLOGY

- *Test design process*
- *From the SDR requirements to the tests*
- *Compliance checkpoints definition*
- *Modeling*
- *Testing generation*

NON CONFORMITY DETECTION

- *Not Implemented Interface*
- *Wrong interface*
- *Non conform behavior*
- *Non conform data processing*
- *Test of boundaries values*

CONCLUSION / Q&A

SDR COMPLIANCE ASSESSMENT

The needs



Needs to assess the compliance to these SDR Standards concepts



SDR COMPLIANCE ASSESSMENT

Assumption

Assumptions on the nature of the systems under test

- The Software radio platforms

  The system under test is an SDR platform with GPP, DSP and FPGA processing resources running a compliant ESSOR Architecture operating Environment

- The Application (Waveforms)

  The system under test is a set of source code files that compiles including IDL, C/C++,VHDL and XML

Assumptions on the compliance check method

- The Software radio platforms

  The compliance analysis is performed through dynamic tests by calling platform interfaces

- The Applications

  The compliance *analysis is performed through source code static analysis. A porting stage is not needed*

AGENDA

SDR CONFORMANCE ASSESSMENT: THE NEEDS

TESTING METHODOLOGY

- *Test design process*
- *From the SDR requirements to the tests*
- *Compliance checkpoints definition*
- *Modeling*
- *Testing generation*

NON CONFORMITY DETECTION

- *Not Implemented Interface*
- *Wrong interface*
- *Non conform behavior*
- *Non conform data processing*
- *Test of boundaries values*

CONCLUSION / Q&A

TESTING METHODOLOGY

Test design process



TESTING METHODOLOGY

Requirements Extraction

The extraction process follows the good practices promoted by IREB



TESTING METHODOLOGY

Compliance checkpoints definition

Compliance checkpoint defines the test objectives

- Success case(s) or Error case(s) definition
- Definition of test success criteria
- Definition of the applicability of the test

Sample on the startTone() function of Audio Device

Requierement		RCC (Requierement Compliance Checkpoint)			
Requirement Identifier	**Requirement Text**	**RCC Identifier**	**RCC Applicability**	**Component**	**RCC Description**
JTRS_AD_PROVIDE_START_TONE	The startTone operation provides the user the ability to start the generation of a previously created tone/beep to the device user. - Synopsis: void startTone(in unsigned short toneId) raises(InvalidToneId); - Return Value: None - State: ENABLED CF::Device::operationalState. - Originator: Service User	-	-	-	-
JTRS_AD_PROVIDE_START_TONE		JTRS_AD_PROVIDE_START_TONE_SUCCESS_001	Platform	GPP	* Success case * the tone or beep identification number is valid * Check the tone is started
JTRS_AD_PROVIDE_START_TONE_EXCEPTION_InvalidToneId	InvalidToneId (see A.5.3.2) A CORBA exception is raised when the tone/beep identification number is invalid.	-	-	-	-
JTRS_AD_PROVIDE_START_TONE_EXCEPTION_InvalidToneId		JTRS_AD_PROVIDE_START_TONE_EXCEPTION_InvalidToneId_001	Platform	GPP	* Check an exception: InvalidToneId is raised * Not existing Tone Id

TESTING METHODOLOGY

Modeling

Test design based on the behavior of the system under Test (Model Based Testing)



TESTING METHODOLOGY

Modeling

UML Class diagrams design for abstract test implementation



Constraints expression

```
---@PDC: Success case
if (adch.currentAcpEnabled = Enum_Boolean_with_NONE::Enum_Boolean_TRUE) then
    true ---@PDC:TRUE= on
else if (adch.currentAcpEnabled = Enum_Boolean_with_NONE::Enum_Boolean_FALSE) then
    if(adch.defaultAcpEnabledChanged=true) then
        true
    else
        false
    endif and
    true ---@PDC:FALSE= off
else
    false
```

PostCondition (OCL Language)

```
1 SUT_AudioPortDevice.allInstances()->exists(apd: SUT_AudioPortDevice |
```

Precondition (OCL Language)

Automatic Tests Generation

Functions to call on set up before the test body

Test body

Functions to call on Tear down (return to initial state)

Test edition

```
aPI_AudioPortDeviceConfig.api_setAudioPortParams(Enum_Audio_Params_Valid_1)
aPI_AudibleAlertsAndAlarms.api_createTone(Enum_Audio_Channel_2, Enum_Tone_Profile_Multi_Tone_Valid_withOneTone_1)
aPI_AudibleAlertsAndAlarms.prepare_StartRecording()
aPI_ChannelAudioConfig.api_getOutputGain(Enum_Audio_Channel_2)
aPI_ChannelAudioConfig.api_setOutputGain(Enum_Audio_Channel_2, Enum_Output_Gain_Valid_1)
common._body()
aPI_AudibleAlertsAndAlarms.api_startTone(Enum_Audio_Channel_2, Enum_Tone_Id_1)
aPI_ChannelAudioConfig.api_setOutputGain(Enum_Audio_Channel_2, Enum_Output_Gain_Default)
aPI_AudibleAlertsAndAlarms.api_destroyTone(Enum_Audio_Channel_2, Enum_Tone_Id_1)
aPI_AudibleAlertsAndAlarms.api_stopAllTones(Enum_Audio_Channel_2)
```

TESTING METHODOLOGY

Test generation: Abstract Tests

Example of C++ test with a start function of a radio Device

- Each generated function is a single test step
- Each test is an assembly of single steps

```
bool JTRS_AD_PROVIDE_API_START_1::setUp()
{
current_result = m_adapter->api_set_API_Params(<params>);
current_result = m_adapter->api_get_API_Params(<params>);
current_result = m_adapter->check_API_Params(<params>);
current_result = m_adapter->prepare_StartRecording(<params>);
current_result = m_adapter->api_create(<params>);
current_result = m_adapter->check_create_Record_ReturnedId(<params>);
return current_result;
}

bool JTRS_AD_PROVIDE_API_START_1::test()
{
current_result = m_adapter->api_start(<params>);
current_result = m_adapter->check_StatusForStarted(<params>);
return current_result;
}

bool JTRS_AD_PROVIDE_API_START_1::tearDown()
{
current_result = m_adapter->api_stopAll(<params>);
current_result = m_adapter->api_destroy(<params>);
current_result = m_adapter->api_set_API_Params(<default_params>);
current_result = m_adapter->api_get_API_Params(<default_params>);
current_result = m_adapter->check_API_Params(<default_params>);
current_result = m_adapter->bench_tearDown();
return current_result;
}
```

API — Call SUT interface

prepare — Prepare measurement tools

Check — Compare received value with expected value

Bench — Specifications on Test Bench

TESTING METHODOLOGY

Test design process summary



TESTING METHODOLOGY

Advantages

Behavior modeling strategy provides us

- Independence of the model from the target.
- A complete coverage of the behavior.
- An easier maintenance and easier rework.
- A Definition of conformance criteria independently from the test definition itself.

Abstract Tests could be exported into different programming languages

- C/C++, JAVA, python, etc ...

All needed Tests artifact could be exported into different formats

- Database export (test management software), XML files, Excel files, etc …

AGENDA

SDR CONFORMANCE ASSESSMENT: THE NEEDS

TESTING METHODOLOGY

- *Test design process*
- *From the SDR requirements to the tests*
- *Compliance checkpoints definition*
- *Modeling*
- *Testing generation*

NON CONFORMITY DETECTION

- Not Implemented Interface
- Wrong interface
- Non conform behavior
- Non conform data processing
- Test of boundaries values

CONCLUSION / Q&A

Audio Device Example

Base Interfaces



Nominal sequence



NON CONFORMITY DETECTION

Not Implemented Interface

Non conformity description

- The Port is functional but the behavior required is not implemented

```
void Audio::AudibleAlertsAndAlarms::startTone(
  CORBA::UShort toneId)
{
    // Put your business code here.

}
```



Results

- All tests failed on the interface

Test: Test Name	Status
JTRS_AD_PROVIDE_CREATE_TONE_1	Failed
JTRS_AD_PROVIDE_CREATE_TONE_2	Failed
JTRS_AD_PROVIDE_CREATE_TONE_EXCEPTION_InvalidToneProfile_1	Failed
JTRS_AD_PROVIDE_CREATE_TONE_EXCEPTION_InvalidToneProfile_2	Failed
JTRS_AD_PROVIDE_DESTROY_TONE_1	Failed
JTRS_AD_PROVIDE_DESTROY_TONE_EXCEPTION_InvalidToneId_1	Failed
JTRS_AD_PROVIDE_START_TONE_1	Failed
JTRS_AD_PROVIDE_START_TONE_EXCEPTION_InvalidToneId_1	Failed
JTRS_AD_PROVIDE_STOP_ALL_TONES_1	Failed
JTRS_AD_PROVIDE_STOP_TONE_1	Failed
JTRS_AD_PROVIDE_STOP_TONE_EXCEPTION_InvalidToneId_1	Failed

Lesson learnt

- The bench is able to detect empty implementation.

NON CONFORMITY DETECTION

Wrong interface

Non conformity description

- The function *destroyTone* is not available
- The signature of the function *startTone* is wrong
- The signature of the exception *InvalidToneProfile* is wrong



Void startTone (in unsigned short toneId)
Raises (InvalidToneId)

Mandatory signature

Void **startTone**(in unsigned short toneId, **in unsigned long MutantCharValue**)
Raises (InvalidToneProfile);

Results

- All tests failed or are inconclusive on the interface

Test: Test Name	Status
JTRS_AD_PROVIDE_CREATE_TONE_1	Failed
JTRS_AD_PROVIDE_CREATE_TONE_2	Failed
JTRS_AD_PROVIDE_CREATE_TONE_EXCEPTION_InvalidToneProfile_1	Failed
JTRS_AD_PROVIDE_CREATE_TONE_EXCEPTION_InvalidToneProfile_2	Failed
JTRS_AD_PROVIDE_DESTROY_TONE_1	Failed
JTRS_AD_PROVIDE_START_TONE_1	Failed
JTRS_AD_PROVIDE_STOP_ALL_TONES_1	Inconclusive
JTRS_AD_PROVIDE_STOP_TONE_1	Inconclusive

Lesson learnt

- This example highlights the capacity of the bench to detect bad implementation of the interfaces defined in the standard.

NON CONFORMITY DETECTION

Non conform behavior

Non conformity description

- The function *DestroyTone* do not delete the tone designated by the tone ID
- The function *startTone* do not raised an exception on unknown tone ID

Mandatory behavior



Non conform behavior



Results

- Three tests on the interface failed

Test: Test Name	Status
JTRS_AD_PROVIDE_CREATE_TONE_1	Passed
JTRS_AD_PROVIDE_CREATE_TONE_2	Passed
JTRS_AD_PROVIDE_CREATE_TONE_EXCEPTION_InvalidToneProfile_1	Passed
JTRS_AD_PROVIDE_CREATE_TONE_EXCEPTION_InvalidToneProfile_2	Passed
JTRS_AD_PROVIDE_DESTROY_TONE_1	Failed
JTRS_AD_PROVIDE_DESTROY_TONE_EXCEPTION_InvalidToneId_1	Failed
JTRS_AD_PROVIDE_START_TONE_1	Passed
JTRS_AD_PROVIDE_START_TONE_EXCEPTION_InvalidToneId_1	Failed
JTRS_AD_PROVIDE_STOP_ALL_TONES_1	Passed
JTRS_AD_PROVIDE_STOP_TONE_1	Passed
JTRS_AD_PROVIDE_STOP_TONE_EXCEPTION_InvalidToneId_1	Passed

Lesson learnt

- This example shows the advantage of the behavior modeling applied to the tests to detect tricky defects

NON CONFORMITY DETECTION

Non conform data processing

Non conformity description

- The tone emitted by the audio device do not match the values sent in the tone sample sequence.



Results

- One test on the StartTone function and another on the createTone function failed

Test: Test Name	Status
JTRS_AD_PROVIDE_CREATE_TONE_1	Passed
JTRS_AD_PROVIDE_CREATE_TONE_2	Failed
JTRS_AD_PROVIDE_CREATE_TONE_EXCEPTION_InvalidToneProfile_1	Passed
JTRS_AD_PROVIDE_CREATE_TONE_EXCEPTION_InvalidToneProfile_2	Passed
JTRS_AD_PROVIDE_DESTROY_TONE_1	Passed
JTRS_AD_PROVIDE_DESTROY_TONE_EXCEPTION_InvalidToneId_1	Passed
JTRS_AD_PROVIDE_START_TONE_1	Failed
JTRS_AD_PROVIDE_START_TONE_EXCEPTION_InvalidToneId_1	Passed
JTRS_AD_PROVIDE_STOP_ALL_TONES_1	Passed
JTRS_AD_PROVIDE_STOP_TONE_1	Passed
JTRS_AD_PROVIDE_STOP_TONE_EXCEPTION_InvalidToneId_1	Passed

Lesson learnt

- This kind of non-conformity is more difficult to detect and could be interpreted as a performance test instead of functional test.
- However the use of measurement tools and the check of data processing is clearly a good way for detecting functional defects.

NON CONFORMITY DETECTION

Test of boundaries values

Non conformity description

- The bounds of the Payload size defined in the Audio Sample Stream Extension are not compliant either in the SDR specification or in the Datasheet of the platform



Results

- The tests of lower bound of Set_Min_Payload_size and the upper bound of Set_Max_Payload_size failed

Name	Status
[1]JTRS_AD_PK_PROVIDE_GET_MAX_PAYLOAD_SIZE_1_GPP	Passed
[1]JTRS_AD_PK_PROVIDE_SET_MIN_PAYLOAD_SIZE_1_MIN_GPP	Failed
[1]JTRS_AD_PK_PROVIDE_SET_MIN_PAYLOAD_SIZE_1_MEDIAN_GPP	Passed
[1]JTRS_AD_PK_PROVIDE_SET_MIN_PAYLOAD_SIZE_1_MAX_GPP	Passed
[1]JTRS_AD_PK_PROVIDE_PUSH_PACKET_1_GPP	Passed
[1]JTRS_AD_PK_PROVIDE_SET_MIN_PAYLOAD_SIZE_EXCEPTION_InvalidParameter_1_GPP	Passed
[1]JTRS_AD_PK_PROVIDE_SET_MIN_OVERRIDE_TIMEOUT_EXCEPTION_InvalidParameter_1_...	Passed
[1]JTRS_AD_PK_PROVIDE_SET_MAX_PAYLOAD_SIZE_1_MIN_GPP	Passed
[1]JTRS_AD_PK_PROVIDE_SET_MAX_PAYLOAD_SIZE_1_MEDIAN_GPP	Passed
[1]JTRS_AD_PK_PROVIDE_SET_MAX_PAYLOAD_SIZE_1_MAX_GPP	Failed
[1]JTRS_AD_PK_PROVIDE_PUSH_PACKET_EXCEPTION_UnableToComplete_1_GPP	Passed
[1]JTRS_AD_PK_PROVIDE_GET_MIN_PAYLOAD_SIZE_1_GPP	Passed
[1]JTRS_AD_PK_PROVIDE_SET_MAX_PAYLOAD_SIZE_EXCEPTION_InvalidParameter_1_GPP	Passed

Lesson learnt

- The boundaries values tests ensure :
  - The conformity to the SDR standard
  - The validity of the values provided by the manufacturer.

AGENDA

SDR CONFORMANCE ASSESSMENT: THE NEEDS

TESTING METHODOLOGY

- *Test design process*
- *From the SDR requirements to the tests*
- *Compliance checkpoints definition*
- *Modeling*
- *Testing generation*

NON CONFORMITY DETECTION

- *Not Implemented Interface*
- *Wrong interface*
- *Non conform behavior*
- *Non conform data processing*
- *Test of boundaries values*

CONCLUSION / Q&A

CONCLUSION

Results & Perspectives

- Results
  - Wide coverage of non conformities.
    - Not Implemented Interface
    - Wrong interface
    - Non conform behavior
    - Non conform data processing
    - Test of boundaries values
  - **86 %** of the requirements extracted from the ESSOR Architecture covered
    - Remaining **14 %** related to internal behaviors.
  - **96 %** of the tests are fully automated.
  - Tests results and logs available in centralized database
- Perspectives
  - Performance tests under study
  - Continuous improvement due to capitalization on test execution
  - Evolution of the SDR standard

Thank you!

Olivier KIRSCH | Test Project Manager
olivier.kirsch@kereval.com